

 PoW vs PoS – Consensus Mechanism Comparison

**Objective/Aim:**

To compare and understand the difference between the Proof-of-Work (PoW) and Proof-of-Stake (PoS) consensus mechanisms used in blockchain networks.

**Apparatus/Software Used:**

* Chrom Web Browser
* Blockchain Explorer
* Text editor
* Microsoft Word

**Theory/Concept:**

Consensus mechanisms are protocols that allow distributed blockchain nodes to agree on the

state of the ledger.

**Proof-of-Work (PoW)**

* 1. Introduced by Bitcoin in 2009.
  2. Miners compete to solve complex mathematical puzzles using computational power.
  3. First to solve the puzzle validates the block and adds it to the blockchain.
  4. Provides high security but requires large amounts of electricity and specialized hardware.

**Proof-of-Stake (PoS)**

**Introduced by:** *Peercoin (2012), popularized by Ethereum 2.0 and others*

**How it Works:**  
Validators are selected to produce new blocks based on the amount of cryptocurrency they “stake” as collateral.  
The more tokens staked, the higher the chance of being chosen to validate transactions.

**Characteristics:**

**Energy-efficient** (no mining or heavy computation required).  
Offers **better scalability** and **faster transaction finality**.  
Network security relies on the **economic value staked** rather than computational effort.

**Example Networks:** Ethereum 2.0, Cardano, Solana, Polkadot



**Procedure:**

* Study the working principles of PoW and PoS.
* Compare their key characteristics such as energy consumption, security, scalability, and decentralization.
* Prepare a comparative observation table.
* Draw conclusions on their advantages and disadvantages.

**Observation Table:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Feature** | **Proof-of-Work (PoW)** | **Proof-of-Stake (PoS)** |
| **Energy Usage** | Very high (computationally intensive) | Very low (energy-efficient) |
| **Security** | Highly secure, attack requires huge energy | Secure, but depends on economic stake |
| **Hardware Needs** | Requires powerful mining hardware (ASICs/GPUs) | No special hardware needed |
| **Scalability** | Limited, slower block confirmation | More scalable, faster transactions |
| **Decentralization** | Risk of centralization due to mining pools | Risk of centralization if few hold most stake |
| **Cost of Attack** | Very expensive (electricity + hardware) | Expensive, attacker must own majority stake |
| **Examples** | Bitcoin, Litecoin | Ethereum 2.0, Cardano, Polkadot |
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